

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APF	LICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,634			07/03/2003	Wayne Chen	TNCR.178US1	4612
	36257	7590	07/28/2004		EXAMINER	
	PARSONS 655 MONT		& DE RUNTZ I	LLP	STAFIRA, MICHAEL PATRICK	
	SUITE 180		TSTICEET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111				2877	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/613,634	CHEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael P. Stafira	2877	An				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this com  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	$oxed{oxed}$ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	· ·		merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-24 and 41-4</u></li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>25-31 and 37-40</u> is/are rejecte</li> <li>7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>32-36</u> is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	Claim(s) <u>25-31 and 37-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>32-36</u> is/are objected to.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/s	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/2004.</li> </ol>	.948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In the specification please amend the spec. to include a phrase that this case is a Divisional of 6,590,645 etc....

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1-24, 41-49 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group I, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on May 14, 2004.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 25-40 in the reply filed on May 14, 2004 is acknowledged.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the method steps of claim 25 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Examiner suggests a block diagram showing the method step of the claimed limitations of claim 25.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes

made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 25-31, 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. ('735).

### Claim 25

Lee et al. ('735) discloses obtaining information concerning anomalies of the surface (See Abstract); processing the information using a first threshold to provide a first output (Col. 6, lines 21-33)(x-y locations); processing the information using a second threshold different from the first threshold to provide a second output (Col. 6, lines 41-56)(z level); and analyzing the outputs and classifying the anomalies in at least one classification (Col. 6, lines 46-56).

### Claim 26

Lee et al. ('735) further discloses comparing the two outputs to determine whether the

Application/Control Number: 10/613,634

Art Unit: 2877

anomalies are scratches, area anomalies or point anomalies (Col. 8, lines 55-59).

Claim 27

The reference of Lee et al. ('735) further discloses the first threshold is higher than the

Page 4

second threshold, wherein one or more anomalies are classified as scratches when they are

classified as scratches at the second threshold whether or not they are classified as scratches at

the first threshold (Col. 5, lines 54-67).

Claim 28

Lee et al. ('735) further discloses displaying only anomalies of sizes that exceed a

predetermined threshold (Fig. 1, Ref. 60).

Claim 29

The reference of Lee et al. ('735) further discloses comparing size of each anomaly

detected to the predetermined threshold (Col. 5, lines 54-60).

Claim 30

Lee et al. ('735) further discloses it classifies the anomalies by means of their distribution

over the surface (Col. 8, lines 55-59).

Claim 31

The reference of Lee et al. ('735) further discloses classifying classifies the anomalies

detected into two or more of the following three categories: scratches, areas and point defects

(Col. 8, lines 58-59).

Claim 37

Lee et al. ('735) discloses displaying the anomalies detected (Fig. 1, Ref. 60).

Claim 38

Art Unit: 2877

The reference of Lee et al. ('735) further discloses displaying only anomalies of sizes that exceed a predetermined threshold (Fig. 1, Ref. 60).

#### Claim 39

Lee et al. ('735) further discloses comparing size of each anomaly detected to the predetermined threshold (Col. 7, lines 35-41).

#### Claim 40

The reference of Lee et al. ('735) further discloses controlling a sample processing parameter in response to the at least one classification (Col. 1-2, lines 57-21).

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 32-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Stafira whose telephone number is 571-272-2430. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/10 Schedule Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,634

Art Unit: 2877

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9497 (toll-free).

Michael P. Staffra Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

July 21, 2004